Appendix no.2

Tree Evaluation Method for Preserved Trees (TEMPO)

TREE EVALUATION METHOD FOR PRESERVATION ORDERS (TEMPO):

SURVEY DATA SHEET & DECISION GUIDE

Date: 17		Kags		
Tree detail TPO Ref: Owner (if k Location:			mixed decidoos, evergeen bar, Yew, Birch, Sweet Clesting, HC Lime, Beech.	
Part 1: Amera) Condition	uity assessment & suitability for TPO: ance Note for definitions		Lime, Beech.	
(5) Good 3) Fair 1) Poor 0) Unsafe 0) Dead	Highly suitable Suitable Unlikely to be suitable Unsuitable Unsuitable	Score & Notes		
	g longevity (in years) & suitability dies Guide' section in Guidance No			
5) 100+ 4) 40-100 2) 20-40 1) 10-20 0) <10	Highly suitable Very suitable Suitable Just suitable Unsuitable	Score & Notes Many	y oak i woodco	
Onsider reali Very large t Large trees Medium tre Small trees	rees, or large trees that are promine or medium trees clearly visible to ses, or larger trees with limited view or larger trees visible only with di	ent landscape features Highly suita the public Suitable wonly Just suitable fficulty Unlikely to l	ble Score & Notes Hylly J.S. Go	
d) Other fact		lic, regardless of size Probably una	suitable Conscipe Lea	
4) Members of 3) Trees with it 2) Trees of part	omponents of arboricultural feature of groups of trees important for their dentifiable historic, commemorative ticularly good form, especially if re- tione of the above additional redeen	r cohesion ve or habitat importance are or unusual	s r important los	
	liency assessment ye accrued 9 or more points to qual	ify; refer to Guidance Note		
5) Renown threat to tree 3) Foreseeable threat to tree 2) Perceived threat to tree 1) Precautionary only 0) Tree known to be an actionable nuisance		Score & Notes © PoposeD Last	Score & Notes (S) Papased access development that wooded belt	
Part 3: Decision	on guide			
Any 0 1-6 7-10 11-14	Do not apply TPO TPO indefensible Does not merit TPO TPO defensible	Add Scores for Total:	Decision:	

15+

Definitely merits TPO